UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/598,967	07/11/2007	Kazumi Nakayoshi	DC10031PCT (71,051-070)	2691	
	27305 7590 05/10/2010 HOWARD & HOWARD ATTORNEYS PLLC			EXAMINER	
450 West Fourth Street			LAM, CATHY FONG FONG		
Royal Oak, MI 48067			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1784		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/10/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comments	10/598,967	NAKAYOSHI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Cathy Lam	1784					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	Lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 M	arch 2010						
•							
/_	/						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7 and 9-13</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	_						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7 and 9-13</u> is/are rejected.	·						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/598,967 Page 2

Art Unit: 1784

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on March 22, 2010 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-5, 7, 9-12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wolter et al (US 5116472).

Wolter teaches a process of making a substrate for printed circuit boards. The substrate is comprised of a metal layer and an electrical insulating material (col 1 L 6-10). A metallic conductor track is then printed onto the insulating material surface (col 1 L 14-15).

The metal layer which can be an aluminum layer, is coated with a silicon compound A (col 2 L 33-34 & 37-40). The silicon compound A is a commercially available compound which is mainly a crosslinkable organic silane compound (col 3 L

Application/Control Number: 10/598,967 Page 3

Art Unit: 1784

36-65 & col 4 L 1-15). The silicon compound A is resin compound that ranges from colorless to bright yellow. In Examples 1 and 2, the silicon compound A insulating material is a colorless layer (col 11 L 7-9 and L 31-32; col 12 L 15).

The prior art teaches a silicon compound which can be crosslinked and formed into layer. The prior art also teaches the method of making the crosslinkable silicon compound, is a condensation or UV radiation (col 5 L 53-60 & col 6 L 48-53).

With the same materials and same method of making, it would inherently possess the same properties, in this case the light transmission percentage and the dielectric constant.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wolter et al (US 5116472) in view of Hamakawa et al (US 4612409).

Wolter discloses a silicon compound coated aluminum foil for used as a printed wiring board substrate. A metallic conductor trace track is printed onto the silicon compound. The crosslinked silicone compound is a colorless layer. Wolter however is silent about the thickness of the crosslinkable polysilane compound

Hamakawa teaches a flexible photovoltaic device comprised of a substrate (1) and an electrode (2) (Fig. 1).

Page 4

The electrode (2) is formed onto the surface of the substrate (1). The substrate is comprised of a metal layer (1a) and a polymeric layer (1b), the metallic layer (1a) can be an aluminum foil and the polymeric layer (1b) can be a silicone (col 3 L 6-7 & L 19-24). The polymer layer (1b) (or silicone) has a thickness of from 1-20 µm (col 3 L 26-28).

In view of the prior art teachings, one skill in the art would choose an insulating silicone material with a desired thickness because it is a matter of design scheme.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed on March 22, 2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant repeated argued the prior art silicon compound does not has the light transmission as claimed and it is not a transparent layer.

Wolter teaches a silicon compound and a method of making such. The method involves condensation or UV irradiation for the crosslinking step (col 6 L 48-53 & col 5 L 16-30). The method disclosed by Wolter is clearly disclosed and intended by applicant as well, see paragraph [0012]. Thus, the art rejection based on inherency was properly made.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cathy Lam whose telephone number is (571) 272-1538. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-6pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/598,967 Page 5

Art Unit: 1784

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jennifer McNeil can be reached on (571) 272-1540. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Cathy Lam/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1784